

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,887	07/14/2003	Steven J. Tallarida	STD 00.01 CIPD	5876
32047 GROSSMAN	7590 01/15/200 TLICKER PERREALII	-	EXAM	INER
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC 55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
MANCHESTE	R, NH 03101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
			TALLARIDA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	10/618,887 Examiner	Art Unit
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3733
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Pedro Philogene ppears on the cover sheet v	
Period for		•	·
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a Ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO Inductor to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed PNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🖂 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2007.	
,	·	nis action is non-final.	
•—	Since this application is in condition for allow		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	on of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12,14-22,81-84 and 86-90</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		•
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
' =	Claim(s) <u>12,14-22,81-84 and 86-90</u> is/are rej	ected.	
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)□ Т	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11)[] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		n received in this National Stage
* 0.	application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a li		at received
·· 5	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	at of the certified copies fic	ALTOCOTOM.
			•
Attachment		A) This later in	Summary (PTO-413)
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		f Informal Patent Application
3) 🔯 Inform		5) Notice of 6) Other:	

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/29/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 14-22, 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walnus et al. (5,595,193) in view of Selmon et al. (6,217,549).

With respect to claims 12, 22, Walnus et al disclose a guide device, comprising a first element (70) comprising a shaft (72) having a longitudinal axis and a contact surface (22,60) mounted to a shaft, as best seen in FIG.1, a second element (12) comprising a second contact portion having a contact surface (14) the contact surface of the second element movable along the longitudinal axis with respect to the contact surface of the first element; as best seen in FIG.4; the longitudinal axis being oriented

Application/Control Number:

10/618,887

Art Unit: 3733

substantially normal to a non-spherical articular surface when both contact surfaces nake contact with the articular surface; as best seen in FIG.4; wherein the contact surface comprises a an arcuate section of a generally "scalloped" member, wherein the generally scalopped member is formed when contact surfaces make contact with a locally spherical articular surface

With respect to claims 15-22, Walnus et al disclose all the limitations; as claimed by applicant; for example the contact surfaces could be adapted to make contact with a plurality of points along either one of the AP or ML curves of an articular surface, the element s are both cannulated, and adapted to receive a tool or guide pin and surrounds a defect in an articular surface, and comprises a set of arms.

It is noted that Walnus did not teach of a plurality of arcuate sections of a generally toroidal member, as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Selmon et all evidence the use of device with a plurality of arcuate surfaces that could be formed of a variety of configurations including cylindrical, toroidal, or spherical, and may have one or more shaped surfaces to communicate with another complementary surface.

Therefore, given the teaching of Selmon, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Walnus, as taught by Selmon et al to communicate with another complementary surface.

Claims 12, 14-22, 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mc Arthur (6,342,075) in view of Walnus et al. (5,595,193).

10/618.887

Art Unit: 3733

With respect to claims 81-84,86-89, Mac Arthur discloses a method comprising a establishing an axis generally normal to the portion of the articular surface of a bone to be replaced; as best seen in FIGS. 8 a-d; excising only a portion of the articular surface adjacent to the axis, to create implant site, as best seen in FIG.8a, one of selecting an ertificial implant corresponding to dimensions of the implant site from the set of variously-sized artificial implants, fabricating an artificial implant corresponding to the dimension of the implant site; and installing the implant into the implant site; asset forth in 13, lines 10-67, column 14, lines 1-67, column 15, lines 1-67, column 16, lines 1-40; and as best seen in FIGS.1-9.

It is noted that Mc Arthur did not teach of a first element comprising an aiming feature and a first contact surface mounted to a shaft and a second element comprising a second contact surface movable relative to the first contact, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Walnus et al evidence the use of a device with first element comprising an aiming feature and a second element comprising a second contact surface movable relative to the first contact surface to provide a guide for insertion of an implant.

Therefore, given the teaching of Walnus et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at he time the invention was made to modify the device of Mc Arthur, as taught by Walnus et al., to provide a guide for insertion of an implant.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number:

10/618,887 Art Unit: 3733

5,620,055

4-1997

Javerlhac

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene January 11, 2008